IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 2394 of 1992

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.S.JHAVERI

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

H K MEHTA

Versus

M C NAIK

Appearance:

MR SURESH M SHAH for Petitioner No. 1

MR ND GOHIL, AGP, for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.S.JHAVERI

Date of decision: 03/09/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. Mr. N.D. Gohil, learned counsel for the respondent states that representative of the respondent no.1 is present in the Court and he makes a statement that the petitioner has already retired from service and therefore the petition has become infructuous. Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to contest this

submission.

2. Accordingly the petition is disposed of as having become infructuous. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs.

[K.S. JHAVERI, J.]
ar